**REMARKS** 

[0002] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the

claims of the application. The status of the claims is as follows:

• Claims 1-6, 9-16, 19, 20, 23-27, 30, 32-34, 36-38, 40, 42, and 46-59 are currently

pending

• Claims 1-6, 23-27, 30, 32-34, 36-38, 40, 42, and 46-59 are canceled herein

**Allowed Claims** 

[0003] The Office Action indicates that claims 9-16, 19, and 20 are allowable.

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for allowing claims 9-16, 19, and 20. These

claims have not been amended herein, and therefore remain in condition for allowance.

**Cited Documents** 

[0004] The following documents have been applied to reject one or more claims of

the Application:

Oetzel: Oetzel, et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 20040175159

• Chasen: Chasen, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,760,721

• Yang: Yang, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,701,478

• McGrath: McGrath, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,925,474

Serial No.: 10/606,465 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1515US Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

kee@haves The Business of IP\*

-6-

Claims 1, 3-6, 23-27, 30, 32, 34, 36-38, 40, 42, and 46-59 Are Non-Obvious

Over Oetzel in view of Chasen

[0005] Claims 1, 3-6, 23-27, 30, 32, 34, 36-38, 40, 42, and 46-59 stand rejected

under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over Oetzel in view of Chasen.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection. However, in the interest of expediting

allowance of this application, claims 1, 3-6, 23, 27, 30, 32, 34, 36-38, 40, 42, and 46-59

are canceled herein, rendering the rejection of these claims moot.

Claim 2 Is Non-Obvious Over Oetzel and Chasen and further in view of

<u>Yang</u>

[0006] Claim 2 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious

over Oetzel and Chasen and further in view of Yang. Applicant respectfully traverses

the rejection. However, in the interest of expediting allowance of this application,

claim 2 is canceled herein, rendering the rejection of this claim moot.

Claim 33 Is Non-Obvious Over Oetzel and McGrath and further in view of

<u>Yang</u>

[0007] Claim 33 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious

over Oetzel and McGrath and further in view of Yang. Applicant respectfully traverses

the rejection. However, in the interest of expediting allowance of this application, claim

33 is canceled herein, rendering the rejection of this claim moot.

Serial No.: 10/606,465 Atty Docket No.: MS1 -1515US

Atty/Agent: Kayla D. Brant

-7- lee@haves The Business of IP\*

www.leehayes.com \* 500.324.9256

## Conclusion

[0008] Applicant submits that, as indicated by the Examiner in the Office Action dated May 28, 2009, all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned representative for the Applicant before issuing a subsequent Action.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Hayes, PLLC Representative for Applicant

/Kayla D. Brant #46,576/ Dated: July 1, 2009

Kayla D. Brant

(kayla@leehayes.com; 509-944-4742)

Registration No. 46576